

Crowd Sourcing: a Toolkit-based Approach

Chris Greenhalgh, Richard Mortier,
Robert Houghton, Catherine Mulligan,

Alexa Spence

Horizon Digital Economy Research,
The University of Nottingham
Sir Colin Campbell Building
University of Nottingham Innovation Park
Nottingham NG7 2TU, UK

cmg@cs.nott.ac.uk

Ronald Carter, Svenja Adolphs
School of English Studies,
The University of Nottingham
University Park
Nottingham NG7 2RD, UK

ABSTRACT

We propose a software toolkit – initially comprising cloud-hosted services with web and mobile clients – to support a range of crowd-sourcing activities based on the provision of information. As well as supporting essentially stand-alone activities, this toolkit will have the option of linking to the Personal Container(s) being developed within the Horizon Hub, which are a software infrastructure for archiving and managing individual's personal data. The link to personal containers allows crowd-sourcing of already-collected data (such as information from my personal journey logs, store transactions or medical history). Initial areas of application: journey data; personal and community history; and contextually-appropriate use of spoken English for non-native speakers. As well as technical challenges of ease of use, scalability, privacy-preservation and filtering, work on crowd-sourcing also unavoidably opens up questions of motivation, reward, intellectual property, safety and policy.

Categories and Subject Descriptors

H.1.2 [User/Machine Systems]: Human Factors, Human Information Processing; H.3.4 [Systems and Software]: Distributed Systems, Question-answering (fact retrieval) systems; J.m [MISCELLANEOUS]; K.4.1 [Public Policy Issues]: Ethics, Intellectual Property Rights, Privacy; K.4.3 [Organizational Impacts]: Employment.

General Terms

Management, Design, Economics, Experimentation, Human Factors, Legal Aspects.

Crowd-sourcing.

1. INTRODUCTION

Wikipedia, the crowdsourced online encyclopedia, defines crowdsourcing as:

a neologistic compound of "crowd" and "outsourcing" for the act of outsourcing tasks, traditionally performed by an employee or contractor to a large group of people or

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee.

Conference '10, Month 1–2, 2010, City, State, Country.
Copyright 2010 ACM 1-58113-000-0/00/0010...\$10.00.

*community (a crowd), through an open call.*¹

Although defined with roots in business (specifically outsourcing) the term is used more generally, for example where there is no outsourcing organization as such or where the task being crowdsourced would not otherwise have been undertaken. For example, Wikipedia would not exist except as a community effort.

Horizon's work on Personal Data Stores (aka Personal Containers) provides a fresh perspective on crowdsourcing and a range of new opportunities. First, it supports a broad spectrum of scenarios in which individuals can contribute carefully managed fragments of the information already in their Personal Data Store in response to crowdsourcing calls. For example, my journey information, purchasing decisions, energy consumption data and entertainment reviews might all be routinely recorded in my Personal Data Store for my own benefit, but may also be of benefit to different crowdsourcing-based applications and services. Second, the same concepts and technical facilities for data recording, management and controlled use are also applicable to situations involving new and explicit crowdsourcing tasks and activities. For example, I may contribute to a citizen science activity by reporting sightings of particular wildlife. Doing this via my Personal Data Store (physically or logically) would allow me to use a set of then-familiar tools and concepts to trace and manage my participation. This might also lower the barriers to initial participation in other activities.

Some of the fundamental challenges of crowdsourcing are: identifying the tasks and challenges for which crowdsourcing is an appropriate solution; defining, operating, supporting and ending a crowdsourcing activity; identifying and creating technical means of participation that minimize barriers to use; establishing and maintaining participation through appropriate incentives; ensuring appropriate privacy and safety for the contributors (e.g. when individual contributors might be identifiable and/or locatable); maximizing the quality and benefit of the outcome (e.g. example through filtering, rating, cross-checking or peer or expert moderation).

2. APPROACH

The focus of this first phase (one year) of work is primarily technical, i.e. the development and initial application of a prototype crowdsourcing toolkit. The scope of crowdsourcing tasks is limited to information gathering, but will explicitly include test cases of both implicit tasks (such as collecting journey

¹ <http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Crowdsourcing> 2010-04-15

traces) and explicit tasks (such as collecting personal reminiscences). This project will provide a resource to support and inform research in crowdsourcing in the human and innovation challenges within Horizon.

The toolkit logically comprises the software elements required to define, establish, manage and end a crowdsourcing activity. It supports three main user perspectives:

- The initiator or commissioner of the crowdsourcing activity, who will specify the task(s) to be performed (in this case, the information desired) and oversee the use of contributions (e.g. derivation and exploitation of contributions).
- The operator or provider of the crowdsourcing facility (which may be the same as the initiator), who will deploy and manage any necessary resources (e.g. services, applications), help to recruit and support participants, and manage the activity as a whole.
- The contributor or crowd-member, who will (implicitly or explicitly) provide the information, as well as joining and leaving the activity (including giving consent) and may also monitor and change their own involvement and the way their contribution(s) are used.

Architecturally, the heart of crowdsourcing activity will be a cloud-based service which supports the initiator and operator interfaces, and links to personal data stores as appropriate. Depending on the particular application requirements the crowd-member's interface may include: the standard personal data store interface(s), supplementary (e.g. task-specialized) personal data store interfaces, application-specific web interfaces and/or application-specific thick clients (e.g. to allow off-line collection of task-specific information on mobile devices). The specific crowd-member interfaces developed within the project will depend on the emerging requirements from the application areas. This is illustrated below.




Figure 1. Crowd-sourcing toolkit system overview

The toolkit will be developed iteratively, working with the application projects (see below). All source will be released under

the AGPL v3 Open Source license, with the option of simultaneous licensing under other licenses. Initial mobile client support will target the Android platform, while Google App Engine is the default cloud platform for those service elements that fit within its constraints.

3. APPLICATIONS

This project will link to crowdsourcing elements that exist and have emerged within other Horizon projects, in particular: the collection of journey information within the car sharing/socially connected journey project; and the collection of personal and community history within the community history, pervasive monuments and urban games projects.

In addition the toolkit will support a further complementary driving application in the domain of context-specific English Language usage and learning (as a second language). This will explore the potential of crowdsourcing in relation to: gathering information on contextual usage of English in various settings and locations (e.g. in a grocers vs a bank vs a train station); and presenting, filtering and reviewing suggested phrases and words (to non-native speakers) in such settings and locations. If successful, this thread of activity will lead to a follow-on proposal for external funding. As an illustrative use of this kind of data, imagine a non-native English speaking visitor going into a bank in Nottingham. The electronic phrase book application on their mobile device determines that they are in a bank (e.g. by reading a visual glyph, RFID tag or IR beacon) and automatically sorts the words and phrases that it presents according to how likely they are to be useful in this particular context.

We already envisage several possible uses of crowdsourcing to support such an application. The various locations (banks, shops, etc.) may accept the crowdsourced task of identifying themselves and suggested words and phrases that are typical or appropriate in their setting (they have a vested interest in supporting visitors in this way). Local citizens or other visitors may accept the task of recording the language that they use or would use in such settings. Users of the application may also return ratings and other annotations of the phrases they actually use (or choose to avoid), or may simply allow the application to monitor their interaction much as Google monitors user's click-through behaviours to determine link rankings in search results.

4. STATUS AND NEXT STEPS

An initial workshop has been held to begin to identify research and experimental approaches to tackling the psychology, innovation and human factors challenges around the proposed work. The development of Personal Container is expected to deliver a publicly deployable version in the Autumn. The first release of the crowd-sourcing toolkit is scheduled for November 2010. This will be available for other projects and activities to make use of (and potentially contribute to!).

Pandey H., Kumar S., Darbari M. CROWD SOURCING RULES IN AGILE SOFTWARE ENGINEERING. II. Literature Review. Types of crowdsourcing range from highly organized methods of harnessing the collective power of the crowd, for example Amazon's Mechanical Turk (Kittur, et al. 2008) and other monetary reward based schemes (Horton and Chilton, 2010), to volunteered geographic information (VGI) such as Open StreetMap (Haklay and Weber, 2008). [1] Ontologies: The word ontology was taken from philosophy where it means "study of the nature of being". The most common definitions state that an ontology is a specification of a conceptualization [7] or that an ontology is the shared understanding of some domain of interest. Corpus based approaches to automatic translation like Example Based and Statistical Machine Translation systems use large amounts of parallel data created by humans to train mathematical models for automatic language translation (Koehn et al., 2003). Large scale parallel data generation for new language pairs requires intensive human effort and availability of experts. Crowdsourcing techniques, on the other hand help us reach a significant number of translators at very low costs. This is very apt in a minority language scenario, where cost plays a major role. This paper addresses the following contributions: 2007. Moses: Open source toolkit for statistical machine translation. In ACL Demonstration Session. Alon Lavie and Abhaya Agarwal. Business Rule Management System provides the necessary seeds for the planning, implementing, verifying and validating the Agile Requirements. The BRMS model needs to be modified in a way that organizational growth runs parallel with the intrinsic expansion in the number of User Requirements in Agile Development. This growth in Requirements or Rules in Agile Software Development is an obvious overhead that needs to be managed properly considering its sprint nature. A Semantic approach is followed by design and maintenance of an Ontology called RAgile. The ontology is developed in Protégé 5.5